

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,490	09/26/2000	Ting Sun	PA1203	4334
7.	7590 09/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Jim H. Salter			TRAN, KHAI	
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor and Zafman LLP 1279 Oakmead Parkway		LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Sunnyvale, CA			2637	
			DATE MAILED: 00/03/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Me	_
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
÷	09/670,490	SUN, TING	
* Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KHAI TRAN	2637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the strong will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 2 3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und 	This action is non-final. wance except for formal ma		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16,20 and 22 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 11, 12, 15, 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-9,13,14,20 and 22 is/are rejected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/670,490

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment A filed 6/15/04 has been entered. Claims 1-5, 10, 17-19, 21 have been canceled. Claims 6-9, 11-16, 20 and 22 are pending in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-9, 13, 14, 20, 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nabicht et al (U.S. Pat. 6,621,346).

Regarding claim 6, Nabicht et al also disclose a step of decreasing (attenuating) downstream DSL signal before the downstream DSL signal enters the amplifier circuit (col. 1, lines 19-40, the programmability of the gain of the amplifier permits adjustment of the amplifier operating characteristics according to the amplitude of the input signals being received thereby over time). Nabicht et al does not explicitly disclose the amplitude of the downstream DSL signal being above a predetermined threshold.

Nabicht et al disclose a method for adaptively adjusting DSL modem receiver in response to a high amplitude downstream DSL signal, comprising step of: determining whether the amplitude of the downstream DSL signal is above a predetermined threshold (col. 1, lines 19-40, a programmable gain amplifiers are particularly useful in the amplification of input signals that may be received over a wide dynamic range). Nabicht et al does not explicitly disclose the amplitude of the downstream DSL signal

Application/Control Number: 09/670,490

Art Unit: 2637

being above a predetermined threshold. However; Nabicht et al disclose in col. 1, lines 28-40 that many communication systems are operable according to multiple standards or protocols, such that the specified range and characteristics of the input signals may vary widely among the standards; in such systems, it is useful to have a programmable gain amplifier for receiving and amplifying the input signals, such that the gain of the amplifier may be programmably adjusted according to the desired standards.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to determine whether the amplitude of the downstream DSL signal is above a predetermined threshold (the desired standard) in order to enable the programmable gain amplifier to adjust the gain of the DSL modem receiver for reducing error signals.

Regarding claims 7, 9, Nabicht et al disclose also wherein the step of decreasing further comprises switching in additional resistance in a receiver amplifier to decrease the gain of the receiver (the programmable gain amplifier including resistors RA, RB, RC, and switches G1, G2). Nabicht et al further disclose the step of determining being performed by a digital signal processor (a programmable gain amplifier and a digital transceiver function 10 is preferably implement as a high-performance digital signal processor (DSP), col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 3)).

Regarding claim 8, Nabicht et al fail to explicit disclose the step of decreasing further comprising the receiver gain by 3dB to 12dB (col. 8, lines 43-53). Nabicht et al disclose that the programmable gain amplifier amplifies the incoming signal according to one of several finely-adjustable levels, for example from 0dB to 3dB in 1dB steps.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/670,490

Art Unit: 2637

Therefore, the step of decreasing gain by 3dB to 12dB in order to receive an optimal signal or a desired standard.

Regarding claim 13, Nabicht et al fail to disclose a loss circuit coupled to and controlled by the data processor for attenuating the downstream signal in response to the data processor detecting a high amplitude downstream DSL signal. However; Nabicht et al disclose the step of detecting the amplitude of the downstream DSL signal being performed by a digital signal processor (a programmable gain amplifier and a digital transceiver function 10 is preferably implement as a high-performance digital signal processor (DSP), col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 3)). Therefore, the function of programmable gain amplifier is similar to the function of the passive loss circuit; claim 13 is therefore rejected under a similar rationale.

Regarding claim 14, Nabicht et al disclose the loss circuit being disposed within the first stage receiver to selectively attenuate the downstream DSL signal before the amplifier circuit amplifies the downstream DSL signal (col. 9, line 31 to col. 10, line 60, such that if switch S23 is closed and switches S3X, S12 are open ...).

Claims 20 and 22 are similar to claims 6, 13. Therefore, claims 20 and 22 are rejected under a similar rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 11-12, 15, 16 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Nabicht et al fail to disclose the first automatically adjustable DSL modem

Application/Control Number: 09/670,490

Art Unit: 2637

wherein the first stage receiver comprising: a pair of amplifier circuits, each amplifier circuit including a switching device coupled and controlled by the data processor for selectively switching in additional resistance to decrease the gain of the amplifier circuit in response to detection of a high amplitude downstream DSL signal.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument has been addressed and illustrated in paragraph 3 above.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI TRAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-3019. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 4:30PM.

"Application/Control Number: 09/670,490

Art Unit: 2637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JAY PATEL can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHAI TRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 2637

Law anangtun

KT September 2, 2004